NEWS thursday, 31 august, 2006

THE GATEWAY

thursday, 31 august, 2006 volume XCVII issue 1

Published since 21 november, 1910 Circulation 17 000 ISSN 0845-356X

> Suite 3-04 Students' Union Building University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2J7

Telephone 780.492.5168 **Fax** 780.492.6665 **Ad Inquiries** 780.492.6700 **E-mail** gateway@gateway.ualberta.ca

editorialstaff

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Matt Frehner eic@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.5168

MANAGING EDITOR Chloé Fedio

SENIOR NEWS EDITOR Natalie Climenhaga

DEPUTY NEWS EDITOR Scott Lilwall deputynews@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6664

OPINION EDITOR Adam Gaumont opinion@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6661

ARTS & ENTERTAINMENT EDITOR Amanda Ash entertainment@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.7052

SPORTS EDITOR Paul Owen sports@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6652

PLIOTO EDITOR Virustina Sulatudi

PHOTO EDITOR Krystina Sulatycki photo@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6648

DESIGN & PRODUCTION EDITOR Mike Kendrick production@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6663

DESIGN ASSOCIATE Ela Przybylo designass@gateway.ualberta.ca

ONLINE COORDINATOR Mike Otto online@gateway.ualberta.ca

businessstaff

BUSINESS MANAGER Steve Smith biz@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6669

AD SALES REPRESENTATIVE Patrick Cziolek sales@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6700

AD/GRAPHIC DESIGNER Lisa Lunn design@gateway.ualberta.ca | 492.6647

CIRCULATION PAL Scott C Bourgeois



THE GATEWAY is published by the Gateway Student Journalism Society (GSJS), a student-run, autonomous, apolitical not-for-profit organization operated in accordance with the Societies Act of Alberta.

THE GATEWAY is proud to be a founding member of the Canadian University Press.



complaints

Comments, concerns, or complaints about the Gateway's content or operations should be first sent to the Editor-in-Chief at the address above. If the Editor-in-Chief is unable to resolve a complaint, it may be taken to the Gateway Student Journalism Society's Board of Directors; beyond that, appeal is to the non-partisan Society OmbudsBoard. The chairs of the Board of Directors and the OmbudsBoard can be reached at the address above.

copyright

All materials appearing in the *Gateway* bear copyright of their creator(s) and may not be used without written

disclaimers

Opinions expressed in the pages of the Gateway are expressly those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the *Gateway* or the Gateway Student Journalism Society.

Additionally, the opinions expressed in advertisements appearing in the *Gateway* are those of the advertisers and not the *Gateway* nor the Gateway Student Journalism Society unless explicitly stated.

colophon

The Gateway is created using Macintosh computers, Umax PowerLook 1000 flatbed scanners, and a Nikon Super Cool Scan optical film scanner. Adobe InDesign is used for layout. Adobe Illustrator is used for vector images, while Adobe Photoshop is used for raster images. Adobe Acrobat is used to create PDF files which are burned directly to plates to be mounted on the printing press. Text is set in a variety of sizes, styles, and weights of FENICE, Joanna, Kepler and Whitney. The Manitoban is the Gateway's sister paper, and we love her dearly, though "not in that way." The Gateway's games of choice are Apples to Apples, Bop It! and You're Goddamn Right! I Have.

contributors

Kim Misutka, Isha Thompson, Bill Luthi, Edmon Rotea, Tim Peppin, Ross"I'm not writing the whole fucking section" Prusakowski, Matthew Barrett, John Kmech. Elizabeth Vali, Tyson Durst, Jessica Warren, Kristina DeGuzman, Daniel Kaszor, Carla Kauinta, Nick Wiebe, Anelle Sabov, Renato Pagnani, Chris O'ld Leary, Andrew Renfree, Ramin Ostad, Derek Larson, Phil Head, Chris Krause, Nick Shostak, Matt Lui, Iris Tse, Daniel Hayduk, Ashley Scarlett, Weiyang Lui, Jenny Frogner, Michal Mlynarz, Josh Nault, James Leung Lauren Stieglitz, Tara Stieglitz, Aleena Reitsma, Jackson Hinton



CHOICES, CHOICES So far, twelve universities across Canada, including the U of A, have told *Maclean's* they want out of the magazine's annual education review.

Universities drop out of *Maclean's* survey

Presidents at Alberta's three universities say rankings leave province at a disadvantage

CHLOÉ FEDIO Managing Editor

While the "Alberta advantage" is a suitable label for the province in most respects, when it comes to university rankings, Canada's richest province says it's being slighted against the rest of the nation.

On 14 August, presidents from eleven of Canada's universities sent a letter to *Maclean's* magazine indicating that they would no longer fill out the questionnaire required to create the magazine's annual university rankings issue, due to what they called an "oversimplified and arbitrary" methodology. And, though the signatories represented institutions from coast to coast, all three of Alberta's universities made a firm stand together on specific issues.

Dr Alan Harrison, Provost and Vice-President (Academic) at the University of Calgary, explained that one main source of contention, shared by all Alberta institutions (not including Athabasca University, which has never been included in the rankings), was the manner in which *Maclean's* calculated university entrance grades, which is weighted about eleven per cent among all of the elements assessed.

"The issue is quite straightforward: it has to do with whether the reported grades for students in one province are directly comparable with the recorded grades in another province," Harrison said. "If you just stack them up side by side, Alberta is disadvantaged."

University of Lethbridge President William Cade said that even though Alberta high-school students have the highest national average in mathematics and language efficiency tests, because provinces have different percentage points for As, entry grades for Alberta universities seem lower than they are in reality.

"Because of grade inflation, some other provinces' universities have higher rankings than the Alberta students, even though the Alberta students are top-notch by all other measures. Grades are a big deal with us—how the magazine gages them—and they've never really addressed that issue at all," Cade said.

Tony Keller, managing editor of special projects at *Maclean's*, agreed that Alberta's complaint is valid, however, he argued that there was no practical solution so far.

"They actually make a good point on this: it is an area that has to be studied," Keller said. "I asked the University of Alberta to provide me with a proposal: I'm still waiting. This is a problem that requires a 200-page solution and all I've gotten from them is one sentence."

Furthermore, the challenges for reforming the way *Maclean's* measures entrance grades extends beyond Alberta, Keller said, pointing to Dalhousie, a university that gets more than a third of its students from outside of Nova Scotia.

"I just don't know how to deal with this. I'm a journalist—I don't run Statistics Canada," Keller said.

PLEASE SEE MACLEAN'S • PAGE 4

Letter signed by eleven universities blames flawed methodology for decision to end participation

WILLIAM WOLFE-WYLIE
CUP Atlantic Bureau Chief

SACKVILLE, NB (CUP)—Tony Keller is the managing editor of special projects at *Maclean's*, working in the same department charged with putting together the annual university rankings. He first heard that eleven universities were pulling out of the annual feature when an *Edmonton Journal* reporter called his office asking for a comment. But that was only the beginning.

"It was very convoluted," said Keller about how he received the letter, which was signed by eleven university presidents. After several other media outlets called him asking for comment, he became concerned that he hadn't yet received a document that seemingly everyone else had. "I asked several universities to please send it to me." he said.

Maclean's annual university rankings has withstood criticism for 15 years, but now eleven universities from across the country have declared that they're not going to take part in the 16th survey, stating that the methodology used to compile the statistics is flawed and delivers an oversimplified view of their institutions.

The following universities officially withdrew their support from the magazine's rankings in a letter dated 14 August, 2006: Dalhousie University, McMaster University, Simon Fraser University, the University of Alberta, the University of British Columbia, the University of Calgary, the University of Lethbridge, the University of

Manitoba, the University of Montréal, the University of Ottawa and the University of Toronto.

The letter stated that the methodology used to compile a large number of statistics into a single ranking and that the magazine was comparing "apples and oranges."

The University of Northern British Columbia, for example, was rated eighth overall in 2002 when it had achieved top marks in library acquisitions while falling to the bottom of the pile in scholarships and bursaries.

"When it lumps all these categories together into a single ranking, arbitrarily assigning more points to one category than another based on its own idiosyncratic judgment, it fundamentally misrepresents the character of every institution," said Dalhousie University President Tom Traves.

But Keller disagrees. He points out that overall averages are exactly how universities grade their students and that this, as well, can sometimes fail to properly represent the student. What *Maclean's* is doing is little different, he argues, but it does turn the tables.

"The universities are saying that you can't combine a bunch of grades together into a grade point average. Right," said Keller in a phone interview. "It's the equivalent of a student submitting their transcript," he added.

The 2006 issue of the *Maclean's* university rankings will feature 47 universities, including the universities who have decided not to participate, and will hit newsstands on 2 November.

