OPINION tuesday, 13 february, 2007

"I earned my undergraduate degree, now I want to

stand up for the public"

Meet Andrew.

To build on his education and get the skill set employers were looking for, Andrew earned his Postgraduate Certificate in Public Administration from Humber in only 3 semesters. He now works for the Ministry of Community and Social Services. We think he's found the meaningful career he always wanted.

Public Administration, Postgraduate Certificate



Get what you want. Apply now. Visit us at www.business.humber.ca

MacEwan

think Opportunity

Want to improve your general English communication, re-enter your profession or develop your academic communication skills?

MacEwan can help.

The MacEwan English Language Institute offers full-time ESL courses designed to assist you in developing the language skills that you require for university and college studies or for general purposes.

Next session starts May 1st, 2007.

For more information or to register call (780) 497-4000 or visit www.MacEwan.ca/esl.



www.MacEwan.ca

GOLDEN BEARS PANDAS Pandas Volleyball vs Simon Fraser University Canada West Semi-Final Friday & Saturday, February 16 & 17 - 7:00pm * If Necessary Sunday, February 18 - 2:00pm Main Gym Golden Bears Hockey vs. UBC Friday & Saturday, February 16 & 17 7:30pm @ Clare Drake Arena YOUR UNIVERSITY . YOUR TEAMS . YOUR SEAT IS READY 492-BEAR / 451-8000 www.bears.ualberta.ca

Clean up your Act, Ottawa

The Clean Air Act could use some tweaking—and Elizabeth May could help



n recent months, various polls have determined that the environment is the top political issue among Canadians today. Particularly important are the toxic emissions related to climate change and air quality. Toxic emissions have also become an issue in an unlikely place: within the House of Commons itself.

Ottawa's leading producer of these noxious fumes—the Liberal, New Democratic and Conservative parties, as well as the Bloc Québecois-have been working overtime since 19 October, 2006, when the controversial Clean Air Act was introduced in

Since its introduction, the Clean Air Act has met stern resistance from the opposition parties. In particular, they've criticized the intensity targets contained in the bill, which could allow greenhouse gases to increase as the consumption of fossil fuels increases. On 1 November, Prime Minister Stephen Harper agreed to submit the Clean Air Act to a committee for revision.

Since then, the co-operation between the various parties has been uninspiring, however. Newly appointed Environment Minister John Baird's

uring the Liberal leader-

ship race last year, candidate

Michael Ignatieff proposed

recognizing Québec as a "nation within Canada." Bob Rae, Stéphane

Dion and Gerard Kennedy, Ignatieff's

main rivals, criticized the proposal, saying that it risked badly dividing

a Liberal party struggling to reassert

itself. Stephen Harper since openly

accepted the motion, which observers

some

feared might set off another round

of divisive consti-

tutional negotiations that could

easily lead to

misunderstand-

accords.

ings and animosity

like that of the Meech Lake and Charlottetown

The recent debate over recog-

nizing Québec as a nation wasn't

one between federalists and sepa-

Canadian politics risks becoming.

treat it like any other province, with

testimony before the Clean Air Act committee degenerated into vicious partisan bickering over the previously governing Liberal party's dismal performance on the greenhouse gas issue, as compared to the action (or, to date, lack thereof) taken by the current Conservative government.

Given the critical importance of the environmental portfolio—especially in relation to climate change—the current, largely partisan, deadlock on the issue is entirely unacceptable.

New blood is desperately needed. Unfortunately, the person who may be best suited to provide the leadership that is so sorely lacking is sitting firmly on the sidelines.

With the government and opposition parties content to snipe at each other in what has become a blatant example of pre-election campaigning, a real leadership deficit has emerged, and it clearly needs to be filled.

New blood is desperately needed. Unfortunately, the person who may be best suited to provide the leadership that is so sorely lacking is sitting firmly on the sidelines.

Green Party leader Elizabeth May has been akin to a ghost recently, haunting Parliament Hill and eager to insert herself into the environmental debate in any way possible. To date, her contributions on the matter have mostly consisted of a number of television and radio interviews-she could definitely be making a much more significant contribution.

What seems to be needed, more than anything, is an effective arbitrator: someone to bring the two parties (in this case, the government and opposition) together to discuss the issue, to determine how that issue will be discussed, and to moderate that discussion. The Green Party's Elizabeth May could be just the right person for the job.

With no Green Party MPs currently in the House of Commons, May wouldn't be placing her party at risk by playing the role of arbitrator in this matter. The Green Party has nothing to lose, and everything to gain.

While the Green Party clearly has lessons to learn before it will be prepared to take on any great significance in Canadian politics, the current importance of the environment and the Clean Air Act in particular, could use the kind of constructive, non-partisan leadership that Elizabeth May could offer.

All of this adds up to a golden opportunity for virtually everyone involved—especially Elizabeth May. Perhaps our political leaders could give May her first big break in this regard by giving her an opportunity to regulate the "toxic emissions" being disgorged over the Clean Air Act.

A polarized nation isn't very attractive



no special considerations whatsoever. Any attempts to accommodate Québec nationalism, such as the Meech Lake Accord, only fuel separatism.

Unfortunately, this approach just hasn't worked, in that the Quebecois simply haven't responded to it. In fact, in many ways it's only made the problem worse. Ignatieff took a tremendous political risk in saying otherwise.

The sad thing is, both Rae and Dion supported Meech Lake and criticized Trudeau's efforts to oppose it before they joined the Liberals.

supports negotiation with Québec is accused by Trudeau of being a separatist." Unfortunately, now it seems you have to be either a Trudeauist or a separatist-there's no room for compromise, conciliation or finding the middle ground. This problem can surface in other

areas as well. Either you support a province like Alberta or Newfoundland in its oil disputes with Ottawa and are accused of being parochial and not caring about the rest of the country, or you defend the intent of Ottawa's actions and are accused of not caring about the provinces' needs. Either you support recognition of Canada's Aboriginal people and are accused of promoting "ethnic nationalism," or you defend Canada to the Aboriginals

and are accused of promoting colonialism and assimilation,

> in extreme cases. What's pened to attempts to understand where the other side is coming from in a debate? Why must we simply shout down people who dare

to disagree with us? Maybe, by studying how the Fathers of Confederation made exceptions and exemptions for Québec, seeing why the aboriginal treaties exist in the first place, seeing things from the province's point of view or seeing why Ottawa has to take the needs of all Canadians into account, we could maybe try to avoid this polarizing, and see that Canada was built on understanding and compromise, not simply shouting the other side down. One can only hope.

ratists, but between different groups of the leading of federalists who want to see Québec candidates supported recof Québec in remain in Canada but who can't agree ognition on how it should fit into the counsome form or another, but try. This debate on the Québec issue do they feel like they can say it shows just how alarmingly polarized openly without becoming political pariahs? In some circles, the only way to deal Tommy Douglas, the "greatest with Québec is the way Trudeau did-

Canadian" (as dubbed by CBC viewers), once claimed that "anyone who